



## Abstract 966

**Ceftazidime-avibactam for the treatment of carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* bacteraemia in oncohaematological patients: calm after the storm**

Fabian Herrera<sup>\*1</sup>, Rosana Jordan<sup>2</sup>, Alejandra Valledor<sup>3</sup>, Inés Roccia Rossi<sup>4</sup>, Ana Laborde<sup>5</sup>, Alberto Carena<sup>1</sup>, Sandra Lambert<sup>6</sup>, Patricia Costantini<sup>7</sup>, Lorena Berrueto<sup>8</sup>, María Laura Pereyra<sup>9</sup>, Andrea Nenna<sup>10</sup>, Miguel Dictar<sup>11</sup>, Jose Benso<sup>3</sup>, Fernando Pasteran<sup>12</sup>, Alejandra Corso<sup>12</sup>, María Victoria Pinoni<sup>2</sup>, Laura Barcán<sup>3</sup>, Evangelina Inwinkelfried<sup>4</sup>, María Luz González Ibáñez<sup>5</sup>, Lucas Tula<sup>6</sup>, Martín Luck<sup>7</sup>, Nadia Baldoni<sup>8</sup>, Agustina Racioppi<sup>11</sup>, Diego Torres<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CEMIC, Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>2</sup>Hospital Británico de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>3</sup>Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>4</sup>Hospital Interzonal General de Agudos General José de San Martín, La Plata, Argentina, <sup>5</sup>Fundaleu, Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>6</sup>Hospital El Cruce - Nestor Kirchner, Florencio Varela, Pcia. de Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>7</sup>Instituto de Oncología Ángel H. Roffo, Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>8</sup>Hospital Interzonal General de Agudos «Dr. Rodolfo Rossi», La Plata, Pcia. de Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>9</sup>Hospital Universitario Austral, Pilar, Pcia. de Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>10</sup>Hospital Municipal de Oncología Marie Curie, Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>11</sup>Instituto Alexander Fleming, Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>12</sup>INEI - ANLIS «Dr. Carlos Malbrán», Buenos Aires, Argentina

**Abstract third-party references:** On behalf of Argentinean Bacteraemia in Cancer and Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Study Group

**Background:** There are no studies published demonstrating that treatment with ceftazidime-avibactam (CA) for carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* bacteraemia (CPEB) improves survival in patients with hematologic malignancies and neutropenia.

**Materials/methods:** Prospective observational study performed from May 2014 to August 2019. Adult patients with hematologic malignancies or hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and KPC or OXA48-CPEB were included from 12 centers of Argentina. We compared patients who received definitive treatment with CA with patients treated with other antibiotics (OA). The 30-day mortality was examined by the Kaplan-Meier method with the log-rank test, and the Cox regression model was used to test statistical significance.

**Results:** 110 patients were included (CA: 22 and OA: 88). No differences were observed in baseline characteristics between CA and OA respectively: age 47 years (37-60) vs 50 years (39-64) ( $p=0.53$ ); acute leukemia 68.2% vs. 59.1% ( $p=0.43$ ); neutropenia 81.8% vs 84.1% ( $p=0.79$ ); high risk by MASCC score: 100% vs 94.6% ( $p=0.31$ ); neutropenia duration > 10 days: 81.8% vs 84.1% ( $p=0.79$ ); Charlson score 2 (2-2) vs 2 (2-3) ( $p=0.27$ ); Pitt score 0 (0-1) vs 1 (0-2) ( $p=0.11$ ); APACHE II score: 13 (11-20) vs 12 (8-17) ( $p=0.092$ ). There were no differences in clinical presentation and microbiological characteristics between CA and OA respectively: bacteremia with a clinical source: 68.2% vs. 62.5% ( $p=0.62$ ); hypotension: 22.7% vs. 36.4% ( $p=0.31$ ); KPC-CPEB: 95.5% vs 92% ( $p=0.58$ ); *Klebsiella* spp.: 90.9% vs. 90.9% ( $p=1$ ); colistin-resistance: 27.3% vs. 31.8% ( $p=0.68$ ); Meropenem MIC  $\geq 16$ : 68.2% vs 69.9% ( $p=0.88$ ). Treatment and outcome between CA and OA were respectively: appropriate empirical treatment: 81.8% [64% received CA] vs 52.3% ( $p=0.015$ ); combined definitive treatment: 63.6% vs 92% ( $p=0.001$ ); 7-day clinical response: 86.4% vs 52.3% ( $p=0.004$ ); ICU admission: 18.2% vs 43.3% ( $p=0.048$ ); 30-day mortality 18.2% vs. 50% ( $p=0.008$ ). In the multivariate analysis the factors significantly associated with mortality were: Pitt score: OR 1.3, 95% CI, 1.1-1.45 ( $p=0.0001$ ) and breakthrough CPEB: OR 2.1, 95% CI, 1.2-3.8 ( $p=0.011$ ), while definitive treatment with CA was a protector factor for survival: OR 0.34, 95% CI, 0.12-0.9 ( $p=0.049$ ).

**Conclusions:** Oncohematological patients with CPEB receiving definitive treatment with CA had clinical and survival benefit over OA treatments.

**Presenter email address:** fabian1961@gmail.com